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This study examined the impact of computers on the vocabulary acquisition of young children with autism. Children's attention, motivation, and learning of words was compared in a behavioral program and an educational software program. The educational software program was designed to parallel the behavioral program, but it added perceptually salient qualities such as interesting sounds and object movement. Children with autism were more attentive, more motivated, and learned more vocabulary in the computer than in the behavioral program. Implications are considered for the development of computer software to teach vocabulary to children who have autism.

*********

Psychol Rep 1998 Jun;82(3 Pt 1):1051-6
Acquisition of basic concepts by children with intellectual disabilities using a computer-assisted learning approach.
Alcade C, Navarro JI, Marchena E, Ruiz G
Department of Psychology, University of Cadiz, Puerto Real-Cadiz, Spain.

Computer-assisted learning can be an efficient learning-teaching procedure. Although there is an extensive educational software tradition for this approach, few have better performance than standard drill-and-practice methods. In this work, the specific software “Let’s Play With...” was designed to teach concepts of colours, shapes, and body position to children with intellectual disabilities. The software structure follows the Gagne instructional design and applied behavior analysis. The program was carried out with 39 boys and 21 girls who were special education students in the Cadiz School District. Statistically significant differences were found between groups taught with and without the software.
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The potential of computer-assisted instruction in working with individuals who have autism has been a controversial topic for both teachers and parents since its introduction 2 decades ago. In the present study computer-assisted instruction was compared with personal instruction. Four children with autism participated. Although 3 of them showed better motivation and fewer behavior problems in computer-assisted instruction compared to personal instruction, this did not affect their learning-rate. Future directions of computer-assisted instruction research for individuals with autism were discussed.
Since the beginning of 1980, Computer-Assisted-Instruction (CAI) has been used systematically in special education. The use of computers in the treatment of autistic children is highly controversial and emotional among parents and professionals. Fears of reinforcing autistic withdrawal are often mixed with insecurity and dislike of new technologies. On the other hand, positive effects of CAI on learning and behavior are reported by parents and published as single case studies. The following paper relates perception, motivation, communication and behavior—characteristics of autistic children to features of computer-assisted learning. Preliminary findings support the benefit of the use of computer-technology for the management of behavior and learning of autistic children. In 12 autistics, video-taped evaluations showed higher enthusiasm ratings in computer-sessions than personal instruction sessions. Single case-studies demonstrated a positive influence of CAI on autistic children’s behavior-problems (e.g. avoidance of eye contact, echolalia) as well as improved spontaneous communication and better learning of academics.
While it appears reasonable to assume that the autistic child might benefit from the development of programmed instruction and teaching machines to teach imitation, concepts, and receptive vocabulary skills, no systematic research to date has seriously investigated such possibilities. The purpose of this study was to compare the effects of automated versus teacher-controlled instruction in the education of autistic children. Four autistic children, each with extreme deficits in language, social, and self-care behaviors, were trained on a matching-to-sample task under three different instructional situations within an intrasubject replication design and multiple baseline procedure. Analysis of the data showed the following results: (1) The teacher, manually operating the teaching machine, was able to teach and maintain the matching-to-sample task; (2) the same autistic children did not acquire or maintain the task when taught by the machine alone; and (3) the teacher alone (without the machine) was able to teach and maintain the task. The results suggest that automated instruction may, at least, serve as a valuable aid to teachers of autistic children. However, before machines can be used without the participation of a trained teacher, further research appears necessary. Several areas, including the role of motivation in automated instructional settings, the saliency and effectiveness of reinforcers, and the importance of controlling antecedent stimulus conditions and off-task behavior are discussed as areas of primary concern in the development of automated instruction for autistic children.
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